


EXHIBIT III

1 G. HOPKINS GUY, III (STATE BAR NO. 124811)
2 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 173985)
3 MONTE COOPER (STATE BAR NO. 196746)
4 ROBERT D. NAGEL (STATE BAR NO. 211113) 2006 JAN 6 PM 3:49
5 JOSHUA H. WALKER (STATE BAR NO. 224940)
6 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
7 1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: 650-614-7400
Facsimile: 650-614-7401

FILED

MIRI TORRE
CHIEF EXEC. OFFICER/CLEER
SUPERIOR COURT OF CA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

CLERK'S OFFICE

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
7 FACEBOOK, INC.

A. GALVAN

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9
10 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

11 THEFACEBOOK, INC.,

CASE NO. 1:05-CV-047381

12 Plaintiff,

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
FACEBOOK, INC.'S *EX PARTE*
APPLICATION TO COMPEL LIMITED
DEPOSITION ON THE SUBJECT OF
PERSONAL JURISDICTION

13 v.

14 CONNECTU LLC, CAMERON
15 WINKLEVOSS, TYLER WINKLEVOSS,
HOWARD WINKLEVOSS, DIVYA
16 NARENDRA, AND DOES 1-25,

Date: January 6, 2006
Time: 8:15 a.m.
Dept.: 14

17 Defendants.

The Honorable Derek Woodhouse

18 Complaint Filed: August 17, 2005
19 Motions to Quash Filed: Oct. 25, 2005
Amended Motion Filed: November 14, 2005
20 Hearing for Motions to Quash: TBD

1 GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN THEREFOR, it is ordered that Defendant
2 ConnectU LLC submit to a deposition along with co-defendants Cameron
3 Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, Howard Winklevoss and Divya Narendra in
4 conformity with the deposition notices served by Plaintiff on November 3,
5 2005, and this Court's oral ruling dated December 15, 2005. However, the
6 deposition of ConnectU LLC shall be limited to topics 11, 12 and 13 set forth
7 in the November 3, 2005 Notice of Deposition of Defendant ConnectU LLC.

8 It is FURTHER ORDERED, that, as stated at the hearing held December 15,
9 2005 Defendants' Cross Motion for Protective Order is DENIED. It is


10 FURTHER ORDERED that all depositions shall be limited to issues
11 directly relating to personal jurisdiction, though it shall not be a basis
12 for instructing the witness not to answer that the parties may differ on what
13 they perceive to be the relevance of the factual issues underlying questions
14 presented. However, should objection be made during any deposition that a
15 particular question is not directly related to the issues of the individual
16 Defendants' personal jurisdiction, and any of the Defendants raise this
17 objection with the Court and the Court agrees it was not directly related to
18 personal jurisdiction issues, the Plaintiff shall be subject to substantial
19 monetary sanctions, and the response shall not be permitted to be used for
20 any purpose, including impeachment. It is

21 FURTHER ORDERED that each such deposition shall comprise a three-hour
22 period, including two hours and forty-five minutes for testimony and one
23 fifteen minute break. It is

24 FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Facebook shall be responsible for paying
25 the travel and lodging expenses of each individual Defendant.

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.
2
3

DATED: January 6, 2006

4
5 
6

Honorable Derek Woodhouse

7 Judge, Santa Clara Superior Court
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25